

**VIRGINIA:**

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY**

**CHERI SMITH,  
Plaintiff,**

v.

**WESLEY C. SMITH,  
Defendant**

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

**Chancery No. 53360**

**#67 – REPLY TO MOTION FOR SANCTIONS**

A pdf copy of this document is available at: [http://www.liamsdad.org/court\\_case/](http://www.liamsdad.org/court_case/)

**COMES NOW** the Defendant, Wesley C. Smith, and makes this reply to the MOTION FOR SANCTIONS of 5/19/2006. The Defendant states as follows:

1. The Plaintiff alleges that the Defendant is not complying with the “Child Support” (otherwise known as mother welfare) provision of the October 2, 2003 “order” and the September 23,2004, “order” to dismantle the website, www.Liamsdad.org.

2. The Defendant has filed a motion questioning the jurisdiction of the court to issue any orders at all in this case. Until the question of jurisdiction is resolved its inappropriate to argue about violating orders that may or may not exist.

3. As to the “child support” (mother welfare) the Defendant did pay when he was able to but as the court has recognized his inability to pay when it issued an order to proceed without fees and costs, any discussion of sanctions is pointless.

4. It is quite clear that the “order” of September 23, 2004 is void even if no judge has yet had the integrity to admit it – see #47 - Motion To Recognize Right Of Freedom Of Speech And To Vacate, Or Recognize As Void, All Orders That Deprive Defendant Of That Right.

5. The “order” was blatantly illegal and in violation of his judicial oath of office. The combined misconduct of the Prince William County Circuit Court Judges is both blatant and troubling.

6. The Court should be embarrassed that any of its judges ever issued the order in the first place, and that the problems wasn’t immediately corrected by other judges when brought to their attention.

7. Its time for a judge to suck it up and admit his cohort made a mistake and that the September 23,

2004 “order” is void. The Defendant will never comply with it and has no legal obligation to comply with it, but rather as a citizen has a duty to make the public aware of the misconduct of the judges.

**WHEREFORE** the Defendant moves this court to deny the motion for sanctions.

**Respectfully Submitted,  
Wesley C. Smith**

---

Wesley C. Smith, Defendant  
5347 Landrum Rd APT 1, Dublin, VA 24084-5603  
liamsdad@liamsdad.org - no phone