

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY

Smith
Plaintiff/Complainant

~~1234~~/CHANCERY NO. 53360

Smith
Defendant/Repondent

ORDER

The above-styled matter came on this day to be heard upon the SAIC's Motion to Quash or Modify Subpoena; and the matter was argued by counsel [and evidence was received], upon consideration of which, it is hereby

ADJUDGED, ORDERED, and DECREED as follows:

SAIC's Motion to Quash the subpoena served on it on September 21, 2004 is GRANTED in its entirety

And Further, that SAIC need not produce any documents pursuant thereto.

ENTERED this 24 day of September, 2004

[Signature]
Judge Rossie D. Alston, Jr.

Task for this
Seen and Agreed/Objected to:

RR Spunk
Counsel for Plaintiff/Complainant SAIC

Seen and Agreed/Objected to:

Wesley Smith
Counsel for Defendant/Respondent

BK0260PG0261

objects that the judge stated reason for ruling was to not change Judge Potters ruling. That is not a reason under rule 4:9 or 4:1. The judge did not consider its ability to lead to admissible evidence, limitation of the parties resource, or importance of issue at stake. Rules cannot be broken solely on ill rule that